

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,090	06/21/2002	Stephen Arkinstall	220316USOPCT	7121
	590 03/10/2003			
•	VAK, MCCLELLAN	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST		CHANG, CELIA C		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		·	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	/
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	, b

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/088,090	ARKINSTALL ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Celia Chang	1625		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		orresp ndence address		
Period fo					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	<u>larch 2002</u> .			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	, ,			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	animer.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/088,090

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application is a 371 of PCT/IB00/01382. A preliminary amendment has been filed. New claims 20-28 have been added. Claims1-28 are in the case.

2. Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 7-8, 24-25 drawn to compounds wherein R⁵ and R⁶ are independent substituents. If this group is elected, a further election of a <u>single disclosed species</u> is also required. Generic claims 1-6, 9, 17-23, 26-28 reading on the elected compounds can be prosecuted together with the elected compounds.

Group II, claims 1-6, 9, 17-23, 26-28 drawn to compounds wherein R⁵ and R⁶ forms a ring. If this group is elected, a further election of a <u>single disclosed species</u> is also required.

Claims **10-16** are in nonstatutory "use" format which are withdrawn from consideration. Cancellation is recommended.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Groups I and II compounds are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In addition, each group of compounds further contains Markush alternatives directed to more than one species of the generic groups I or II. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Under PCT Administrative Instructions Annex B, section(f) "Markush Practice" paragraph (f)(i)(B)(2)(v) it was stated:

"When dealing with alternatives, if it can be shown that at least one Markush alternative is *not* novel over the prior art, the question of unity of invention shall be reconsidered by the examiner."

Application/Control Number: 10/088,090

Art Unit: 1625

In the instant case, it is found that at least one Markush alternatives i.e. when R⁵ and R⁶ forms a ring is not novel, see Okamoto et al. CA 105:97950, RN 103891-80-1 anticipated group II compounds.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 703-308-4702. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner can be reached by facsimile at (703) 308-7922 with courtesy voice message supra.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

OACS/Chang *Mar. 5, 2003*

Celia Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625